



FUDMA International Journal of Social Sciences (FUDIJOSS)

Vol. 5, No. 2, September, 2025

ISSN: 2735-9522 (Print) ISSN :2735-9530 (Online)



FUDMA International Journal of Social Sciences (FUDIJOSS), Volume 5, No. 2, September, 2025

**A Publication of
The Faculty of Social
Sciences, Federal
University Dutsin-Ma,
Katsina State,
Nigeria**

ISSN: 2735-9522 (Print)
2735-9530 (Online)



EDITORIAL BOARD

Editor-in-Chief:

Editor:

Managing Editor:

Business Editor:

Associate Editor:

Associate Editor:

Associate Editor:

Editorial Secretary:

Prof. Martins Iyoboyi

Dr. Abdulsalam A. Sikiru

Dr. Isaac I. Akuva

Dr. Badiru Abdulahi

Prof. Saifullahi Ibrahim Sani

Dr. Anifat Abdurraheem

Dr. Bem Elijah Tativ

Dr. Simon O. Obadahun

ADVISORY BOARD

Prof. Dejo Abdulrahman (Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria)

Prof. A.O. Olutayo (University of Ibadan, Nigeria)

Prof. Jacob I. Yecho (Federal University Dutsin-Ma Katsina State Nigeria)

Prof. Daud Mustafa (Federal University Dutsin-Ma Katsina State Nigeria)

Prof. Mary Agbo (Federal University Dutsin-Ma Katsina State Nigeria)

Prof. Adagba Okpaga (Benue State University, Nigeria)

Prof. Adejo Odo (Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria)

Prof. Rhoda Mundi (University of Abuja, Nigeria)

Prof. Marlize Rabe (University of South Africa, Pretoria, South Africa)

Prof. Alo Olubunmi (Federal University Wukari, Nigeria)

Prof. Chika Umar Aliyu (Usmanu Danfodiyo University, Sokoto, Nigeria)

Prof. Muhammad Sani Badayi (Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria)

Prof. Muhammad M. Usman (Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria)

Prof. P.A.O. Odjugo (University of Benin, Nigeria)

Prof. M. Mamman (Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria)

Prof. E.O. Iguisi (Ahmadu Bello University Zaria, Nigeria)

Prof. Aloysius Okolie (University of Nigeria, Nsuka, Nigeria)

Prof. Dung Pam Sha (University of Jos, Nigeria)

Prof. A/Razak Nor Azam (Universiti Utara, Malaysia)

Prof. A/Razak Na'Allah (University of Abuja, Nigeria)

Prof. B. Tanimu (Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria)

Prof. A. Jacob (Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria)



EDITORIAL AIM

FUDMA International Journal of Social Sciences (FUDIJOSS) is a bi-annual journal published by the Faculty of Social Sciences, Federal University Dutsin-Ma, Katsina State, Nigeria. FUDIJOSS is intended for scholars who wish to report results of completed or ongoing research, book review, review of the literature and discussions of theoretical issues or policy in all areas of Economics, Geography, Regional Planning, Political Sciences, Sociology, Demography, Gender Studies, and Management Sciences. Therefore, the primary objective of this journal is to provide a forum for the exchange of ideas across disciplines and academic orientations in the social sciences, and other related disciplines.

EDITORIAL POLICY

Manuscripts submitted for publication in FUDIJOSS are considered on the understanding that they are not under consideration for publication elsewhere, and have not already been published. The publishers of FUDIJOSS do not accept responsibility for the accuracy of the data presented in the articles or any consequences that may arise from their use. Opinions expressed in articles published by FUDIJOSS are solely those of the authors.

AUTHOR GUIDELINES

Submission to FUDMA International Journal of Social Sciences (FUDIJOSS)

Articles submitted to FUDIJOSS should be written in English Language (a consistent use of US or UK grammar and spelling) and should normally be between three thousand (3,000) to eight thousand (8,000) words (including all elements, abstract, references). If English is not the author's mother tongue, please arrange proofreading by a native English speaker before submission. Submitted manuscripts should contain a concise and informative title; the name(s) of the author(s); the affiliation(s) and address(es) of the author(s); the e-mail address and telephone number(s) of the corresponding author. Contributions are received with the understanding that they comprise of original, unpublished material and have not been submitted/considered for publication elsewhere. All submissions should be sent electronically as email attachment to fudijoss@gmail.com. Submissions must be accompanied with evidence of payment of an assessment fee of ₦10,000 or 25 (USD). Manuscripts are accepted throughout the year.

Abstract

A concise abstract of not more than two hundred and fifty (250) words and to be followed immediately by four to six (4-6) keywords which should not be a repetition of the title. The abstract should not contain any undefined abbreviations or unspecified references.

Text

Manuscripts should be typed, double spaced in MS Word for Windows format, font size 12, Times New Roman with 2.5cm margins, and organized under appropriate section headings. All headings should be placed on the left-hand side of the text. All figures, tables, etc. should be inserted at the appropriate locations in the text. Only three levels of headings are accepted in the text. All measurements should be given in metric units. Acknowledgements may be made briefly just before the list of references only on the revised final manuscript.



Tables and Figures

- a. All illustrations other than tables are to be numbered consecutively as Figures (e.g. graphs, drawing and photographs) using Arabic numerals.
- b. Photographs and other illustrations will be reproduced in black and white unless otherwise agreed with the editors. Only online versions of the article will appear in colour.
- c. All Figures and Tables are to be referred to in the text by their number.

Citations in Text

Cited references in the text are to be cited in the text using the surname(s) of the author(s) followed by the year of publication of the work referred to, for example: Mustafa (2019), (Ati, 2016), (Dimas & Akuva, 2020) or for references to page (Mustafa, 2020, p. 15). In case of more than two authors use name of first author followed by "et al." (Yecho *et al.*, 2017). If several works are cited, they should be organized chronologically, starting with the oldest work.

References: Use the American Psychological Association (APA) Style General Guide

The items in the reference list should be presented alphabetically with the last name of the author, followed by the author's initials.

Books

Abdulsalam A. Sikiru (2022) Research methods in Economic and Social Science, Lexington Printing Press, California, USA.

Obadahun O. Simon (2024) The Basics of Administration and Politics, ABU Press, Zaria, Kaduna State.

Badiru Abdulahi (2024) Introduction to Economics, Longman, London, UK.

Edited Book

McDowell, L. & Sharp, J. P. (Eds.) (1999). *A feminist glossary of human geography*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press.

Book Chapter

Abaje, I. B., Ati, O. F. & Iguisi, E. O. (2012). Changing Climatic Scenarios and Strategies for Drought Adaptation and Mitigation in the Sudano-Sahelian Ecological Zone of Nigeria. In Iliya, M. A., & Dankani, I. M. (Eds). *Climate Change and Sustainable Development in Nigeria* (pp 99– 121). Ibadan: Crown F. Publishers.

Journal Articles

Dimas, G. & Akuva, I. I. (2020). Leadership styles of Nelson Mandela as a pattern for African leaders. *Covenant University International Journal of Politics and International Affairs*, 8(1), 49-64.



Journal Article Accessed Online

Bayer, J. (2010). Customer segmentation in the telecommunications industry. *Journal of Database Marketing & Customer Strategy Management*, 17, 247 – 256. doi: 10.1057/dbm.2010.21

Corporate Author

Institute of Chartered Accountants in Australia. (2004). *AASB standards for 2005: equivalents to IFRSs as at August 2004*. Sydney, Australia: Pearson Education.

All correspondences and enquiries should be directed to: The Editor-in-Chief
FUDMA International Journal of Social Sciences Faculty of Social Sciences
Federal University Dutsin-Ma, Katsina State, Nigeria Email: fudijoss@gmail.com

Publication Fee

Publication fee is ₦30,000 or 150 (USD).

Subscriptions and Marketing

Three issues of FUDIJOSS would be published per year, in April, September and December, by the Faculty of Social Sciences, Federal University Dutsin-Ma, Katsina State, Nigeria.

Annual subscriptions (2023): Nigeria, ₦10,000 (Individuals), ₦15,000 (Institutions).

Outside, 35 (USD) (Individuals); 100 (USD) (Institutions).

Single Issues (2023): Nigeria ₦5,000 (Individuals) ₦8,000 (Institutions). Outside, 25 (USD) (Individuals); 65 (USD) (Institutions).

For advertising and other marketing details, contact:

The Business Editor

FUDMA International Journal of Social Sciences Faculty of Social Sciences

Federal University Dutsin-Ma, Katsina State, Nigeria Email: fudijoss@gmail.com



DEMOCRACY AND ELECTIONS IN NIGERIA'S FOURTH REPUBLIC: AN INQUIRY INTO THE FACTORS BEHIND VOTER APATHY

Nura Abbas Hamid

Department of Political Science, Bayero University, Kano

nahamid.pol@buk.edu.ng

07039082477

Musa Magaji Ikara

Department of Political Science, Federal University Dutsin-Ma, Katsina-Nigeria

magajiikaraps83@gmail.com

+23407038353404

&

Ahmed Hamisu Sandamu

Department of Political Science, Federal University Dutsin-Ma, Katsina-Nigeria

Ahamisu1@fudutsinma.edu.ng

+23408032056760

Abstract

This paper investigates the persistent and troubling phenomenon of voter apathy in Nigeria's Fourth Republic (1999–2023). Despite the initial optimism that greeted the return to democratic rule, voter turnout has witnessed a significant decline, reaching a historic low in the 2023 general elections. This trend poses a fundamental threat to the legitimacy and consolidation of Nigeria's democracy. Using a qualitative approach based on a systematic review of existing literature, election reports, and socio-political analyses, this paper argues that voter apathy in Nigeria is not a sign of citizen indifference but a rational response to a confluence of deeply entrenched systemic failures. The inquiry identifies four primary causal factors: (1) pervasive electoral malpractice and the perceived weakness of the electoral umpire, (2) the persistent threat of political violence and widespread insecurity, (3) chronic governance failures and debilitating socio-economic conditions, and (4) a dysfunctional political culture characterized by a lack of party ideology and elite disconnection from the electorate. The paper finds that these factors interact to erode public trust, diminish the perceived efficacy of the vote, and foster widespread disillusionment with the democratic process. The study concludes that unless these structural and institutional deficits are addressed through comprehensive electoral reform, improved governance, and a reorientation of the political class, voter apathy will continue to undermine the foundations of Nigeria's democratic experiment. Recommendations are offered for key stakeholders, including the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC), the government, political parties, and civil society, to rebuild trust and re-engage the Nigerian electorate. The research is guided by the theory of cumulative political disenfranchisement by identifying specific variables and showing their relationship. It provides the structure for the research paper's analysis.

Keywords: Voter apathy, voter turnout, democracy, elections, Fourth Republic, electoral malpractice, governance.

Introduction

The inauguration of the Fourth Republic on May 29, 1999, marked a watershed moment in Nigeria's political history, ending over fifteen years of debilitating military dictatorship. The transition was heralded with widespread euphoria and profound optimism, both domestically and internationally. Nigerians envisioned a new era where the principles of democracy, accountability, popular participation, and respect for the rule of law would finally supplant the arbitrariness of authoritarian rule (Joseph, 2014). Central to this democratic project was the promise of regular, credible elections, which is the primary mechanism through which citizens could exercise their sovereignty, choose their leaders, and hold them accountable.

However, more than two decades into this democratic journey, the initial optimism has largely dissipated, replaced by a growing sense of disillusionment. A key symptom of this "democratic disenchantment" is the alarming trend of voter apathy (Afolabi, 2021). While the number of registered voters has steadily increased, the percentage of those who actually cast their ballots has progressively declined. The 2023 general election, for instance, recorded the lowest voter turnout in Nigeria's history, with only 27.1% of registered voters participating (YIAGA Africa, 2023). This trend is not merely a statistical curiosity; it represents a grave threat to the health and sustainability of Nigeria's democracy. Low voter turnout raises critical questions about the legitimacy of elected governments and their mandate to govern. It creates a vacuum that can be exploited by political opportunists and undermines the very essence of a representative government.

This paper, therefore, seeks to conduct a critical inquiry into the multifaceted factors that underpin this pervasive voter apathy. It moves beyond simplistic explanations of citizen laziness or ignorance to explore the deeper, systemic issues that discourage electoral participation. The central thesis of this paper is that voter apathy in Nigeria's Fourth Republic is a complex phenomenon driven by a confluence of systemic failures, including pervasive electoral malpractice, the threat of political violence, deteriorating socio-economic conditions, and a profound lack of trust in political institutions and actors, which collectively undermine the perceived efficacy of the vote and erode democratic engagement.

This study will first establish a conceptual framework for understanding voter apathy within the context of democratic theory. It will then analyze voter turnout data from 1999 to 2023 to illustrate the scale of the problem. The core of the paper will systematically examine the causal factors, drawing on evidence from academic research, reports from credible election observer groups, and media analyses. Finally, it will discuss the severe consequences of this trend and propose a set of recommendations aimed at rebuilding citizen confidence in the electoral process and strengthening Nigeria's fragile democracy.

Conceptual and Theoretical Clarifications

To understand voter apathy in contemporary Nigeria, one must first engage with the theoretical concepts that explain citizen participation and situate the problem within its proper historical context.

Conceptual Clarification

- i. **Voter apathy** refers to a lack of interest or motivation among eligible citizens to participate in elections. It manifests as low voter turnout, which is the percentage of eligible voters who cast a ballot. While often used interchangeably, apathy (the attitude) is the root cause of low turnout (the behavior).

- ii. **Voter Turnout** is a critical indicator of the health of a democracy. It refers to the percentage of eligible voters who actually cast their ballots in an election. A high voter turnout is often seen as a sign of a vibrant and engaged citizenry, while a low turnout can raise questions about the legitimacy of the elected government and the level of public trust in the political process.
- iii. **Democracy** is a system of government where supreme power is vested in the people. This power is typically exercised through a system of representation involving free and fair elections. The word "democracy" originates from the Greek words 'demos' (people) and 'kratos' (rule). In a democracy, citizens have the right to choose their leaders and hold them accountable for their actions.
- iv. **Elections** are the primary mechanism through which this popular sovereignty is expressed. They are formal processes where citizens cast votes to select individuals for public office. For elections to be considered democratic, they must be regular, competitive, and conducted in a manner that allows for the free expression of the will of the people.
- v. **Fourth Republic** refers to the current period of democratic governance in Nigeria, which began on May 29, 1999, following the end of decades of military rule. This era has been characterized by regular electoral cycles, a multi-party system, and a written constitution.
- vi. **Electoral Malpractice** encompasses a range of illegal and unethical activities aimed at influencing the outcome of an election. These can include Vote-buying i.e. offering money or other incentives to voters in exchange for their vote. Ballot-box snatching and stuffing, i.e. illegally adding or removing ballot papers to favor a particular candidate. Intimidation and violence, i.e. using threats or physical force to deter voters or opposition candidates. Manipulation of results or altering the final vote count. Electoral malpractice is a significant driver of voter apathy. When citizens believe that elections are rigged, they are less likely to participate, leading to a vicious cycle of declining trust and engagement.
- vii. **Governance** refers to the processes and institutions through which authority is exercised in a country. Good governance is characterized by transparency, accountability, the rule of law, and responsiveness to the needs of the citizenry. In contrast, poor governance, often marked by corruption and a lack of basic service delivery, erodes public trust and can fuel voter apathy. When citizens perceive that their elected officials are not working in their best interests, they may become disengaged from the political process altogether.

Theoretical Clarification

In political science, several theories offer explanations for this phenomenon. Some of which are as follows:

Rational Choice Theory: This theory posits that individuals are rational actors who make decisions based on a cost-benefit analysis (Downs, 1957). From this perspective, a citizen will vote only if the perceived benefits of voting outweigh the costs. In the Nigerian context, the costs are significant: navigating a complex and often inefficient voter registration process, sacrificing a day's income, traveling long distances to polling units, and, most critically, facing the risk of intimidation and violence. The *benefits*, meanwhile, are perceived as negligible. If an individual believes that the electoral system is rigged, that their single vote will not make a difference, and that the outcome will not lead to any meaningful improvement in their life, the rational choice is to abstain (Bratton, 2008).

Social Capital Theory: This theory was advanced by scholars like Robert Putnam (2000) which provided another lens. This theory suggests that participation in civic life is nurtured by networks of trust, shared norms, and social reciprocity. When trust in key institutions such as the electoral commission (INEC), the judiciary, political parties, and the government itself is eroded, the social fabric that encourages collective action frays. In Nigeria, decades of broken

promises, endemic corruption, and state failure have decimated social capital and fostered a deep-seated cynicism towards the political class and state institutions (Lewis, 2011).

The Theory: Cumulative Political Disenfranchisement

Generally speaking this research is guided by the theory of cumulative political disenfranchisement. This theory posits that voter apathy in Nigeria's Fourth Republic is not a result of a single event or factor but is an accumulated response to persistent and layered systemic failures. Over time, repeated negative experiences with the electoral and political system compound, leading to a state of learned political helplessness and rational withdrawal from the electoral process.

The core tenets of this theory are:

1. **Systemic Deficiencies:** The process begins with observable and persistent failures within the political system. This includes electoral malpractice (rigging, violence), pervasive corruption, and the failure of elected officials to deliver on campaign promises or improve socio-economic conditions.
2. **Erosion of Trust and Efficacy:** These systemic failures progressively erode citizens' political trust (faith in institutions like INEC, the judiciary, and politicians) and their sense of political efficacy (the belief that their vote can effect change).² Each flawed election or unfulfilled promise reinforces the idea that the system is rigged and individual participation is futile.
3. **Rational Calculation of Cost vs. Benefit:** As trust and efficacy diminish, citizens begin to make a rational, albeit often subconscious, calculation. The **costs** of voting (time, transportation, potential exposure to violence, navigating complex registration processes) are weighed against the perceived benefits (the chance of electing a responsive leader, influencing policy). When the system is seen as broken, the perceived benefit approaches zero, making the act of voting seem irrational.
4. **Psychological Withdrawal (Apathy):** The final stage is a deep-seated psychological withdrawal from politics. This manifests as voter apathy, which is not merely laziness but a defense mechanism against repeated disappointment and a logical conclusion to the citizen's ongoing negative experiences.

In short, each flawed election cycle acts as another layer of proof to the voter that the democratic process is a facade, making their eventual apathy a predictable and cumulative outcome.

A Brief History of Elections in Nigeria

The skepticism that fuels voter apathy in the Fourth Republic is not a recent development; it is deeply rooted in Nigeria's turbulent electoral history. The elections of the First Republic (1960-1966) were marred by widespread allegations of rigging, ethnic chauvinism, and violence, which ultimately culminated in a military coup (Dudley, 1982). The Second Republic (1979-1983) repeated this tragic pattern, with the 1983 general elections being so blatantly fraudulent that they delegitimized the entire democratic system, paving the way for another military takeover (Kurfi, 2005).

The most infamous electoral episode was the annulment of the June 12, 1993 presidential election, widely believed to have been won by Chief M.K.O. Abiola. This election was lauded as the freest and fairest in Nigeria's history, yet its annulment by the military regime of General Ibrahim Babangida dealt a catastrophic blow to the nation's collective faith in the democratic

process (Suberu, 2001). This history has created a powerful, inherited public memory of elections as a fraudulent and perilous exercise, a perception that continues to haunt the Fourth Republic. While the 1999 transition was a moment of hope, it was built on a foundation of profound public distrust.

The Manifestation of Voter Apathy in the Fourth Republic

The promise of the Fourth Republic was that it would break from this past. However, an analysis of voter turnout since 1999 reveals a deeply concerning trend. While the number of registered voters has grown significantly, reflecting population growth, the percentage of participation has largely moved in the opposite direction.

Table 1: Voter Turnout in Nigerian Presidential Elections (1999-2023)

Election Year	Registered Voters	Accredited Voters / Votes Cast	Turnout (%)	Data Source(s)
1999	57,938,945	30,280,052	52.3%	INEC; IDEA
2003	60,823,022	42,018,735	69.1%	INEC; IDEA
2007	61,567,034	35,397,572	57.5%	INEC; EU EOM (2007)
2011	73,528,040	39,469,484	53.7%	INEC; IRI (2011)
2015	68,833,476	29,432,083	42.8%	INEC
2019	84,004,084	28,614,190	34.1%	INEC
2023	93,469,008	25,286,616	27.1%	INEC; YIAGA Africa (2023)

Note: *There are slight variations in reported figures across sources. For consistency, this table primarily uses INEC data, supplemented by major observer reports. "Votes Cast" is used for turnout calculation.*

The data reveals a stark narrative. After a peak in 2003, turnout has been on a steady decline, with only a brief, anomalous dip in registered voters in 2015 due to the introduction of Permanent Voter Cards (PVCs) and a clean-up of the register. The 2019 election saw turnout plummet to 34.1%, but the 2023 election set a new record low of just 27.1% (YIAGA Africa, 2023). This means that for the most recent election, over 68 million registered Nigerians chose not to, or were unable to, vote. This is a damning indictment of the state of electoral democracy in the country.

Causal Factors of Voter Apathy

The decline in voter participation is not attributable to a single cause but rather to a web of interconnected failures. This section examines the most critical factors.

Electoral Malpractice and Institutional Weakness

At the heart of voter apathy lies a profound lack of faith in the integrity of the electoral process and the institution charged with managing it, the **Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC)**. Despite significant financial investment and legal reforms, such as the Electoral Act 2022, INEC has consistently struggled to deliver elections that are perceived as credible by the majority of Nigerians (Centre for Democracy and Development [CDD], 2023).

Key issues include:

- i. **Logistical Failures:** Elections are frequently plagued by the late arrival of election materials and personnel at polling units, disenfranchising voters who cannot afford to wait all day (European Union Election Observation Mission [EU EOM], 2023).
- ii. **Technological Deficiencies:** While technological innovations like the Bimodal Voter Accreditation System (BVAS) were introduced to curb fraud, their inconsistent performance and INEC's failure to adhere to its own promise of real-time electronic transmission of results in 2023 severely damaged public trust (CDD, 2023). When technology designed to enhance transparency fails, it deepens suspicions of manipulation.
- iii. **Systemic Fraud:** Enduring practices like **vote-buying**, ballot box snatching, and the falsification of results at collation centers remain rampant. The perception that elections are won not at the ballot box but through illicit means convinces many citizens that their vote is worthless (Adebayo, 2020).
- iv. **Weakness of the Judiciary:** The increasing reliance on the judiciary to determine final election outcomes has been problematic. Conflicting and controversial court rulings have led many to perceive the judiciary as a tool for legitimizing electoral fraud rather than a neutral arbiter of justice, further eroding faith in the entire democratic system (Idayat&Osirike, 2019).

When citizens believe that the umpire is not independent and the rules of the game are systematically violated, the incentive to participate evaporates.

Political Violence and Insecurity

Elections in Nigeria are often a "do-or-die" affair, characterized by violence, intimidation, and thuggery (Human Rights Watch, 2019). The political class frequently mobilizes armed youths to intimidate opponents and suppress votes in rival strongholds. This climate of fear acts as a powerful deterrent to participation. Voters, particularly women and the elderly are often unwilling to risk their safety and security to cast a ballot (Okoli&Ogundu, 2021).

Furthermore, the general state of insecurity in the country exacerbates the problem. In the North-East, the Boko Haram insurgency has displaced millions, making voting impossible. In the North-West and North-Central, banditry and kidnapping create a perilous environment. In the South-East, secessionist agitations and violent enforcement of "sit-at-home" orders have crippled civic life, including electoral participation (EU EOM, 2023). When the state cannot guarantee the basic security of its citizens on Election Day, it is unreasonable to expect mass participation.

Socio-Economic Conditions and Governance Failures

For many Nigerians, the "dividends of democracy" have failed to materialize. More than two decades into the Fourth Republic, the country continues to grapple with staggering poverty, high youth unemployment, decaying infrastructure, and abysmal public services in education and healthcare (National Bureau of Statistics, 2023). Endemic corruption remains a defining

feature of the political landscape, with public officials perceived as enriching themselves at the expense of national development (Transparency International, 2022).

This persistent state of underdevelopment breeds deep-seated disillusionment. Citizens question the value of participating in a democratic process that consistently produces leaders who seem indifferent or incapable of addressing their most pressing needs. The feeling is widespread that regardless of who wins an election, their material conditions will not improve. This disconnect between the ritual of voting and the reality of lived experience is perhaps the most potent driver of apathy (Ake, 1996). When democracy fails to deliver tangible improvements in well-being, its appeal diminishes, and voting is seen as a futile, time-wasting activity.

Political Culture and Party System

The nature of Nigeria's political parties and the prevailing political culture also contribute significantly to voter alienation. Nigerian political parties are notoriously weak in ideological and programmatic terms. They are often described as "special purpose vehicles" for elites to contest for power, lacking any distinct policy platforms that differentiate them from one another (Omotola, 2010). Politicians frequently switch parties based on personal ambition rather than principle, reinforcing the public perception that politics is not about service but about self-aggrandizement.

The political class is widely seen as a remote, insular elite, disconnected from the struggles of ordinary citizens. The high cost of politics and the influence of "godfatherism" ensure that the political space is dominated by a small, recycled cohort of wealthy individuals (Ibeanu&Momoh, 2009). This lack of internal party democracy and the absence of a genuine connection between politicians and the electorate foster a profound sense of alienation. Voters feel they have no real choice, only a selection of candidates from the same out-of-touch elite, leading them to conclude that their participation is meaningless.

The Consequences of Voter Apathy for Nigerian Democracy

Widespread voter apathy is not a benign phenomenon; it has severe and corrosive consequences for the democratic system.

First, it produces governments with **low legitimacy**. When a president or governor is elected by a tiny fraction of the eligible electorate, their mandate to enact bold policies and demand sacrifices from the population is fundamentally weak (Diamond, 2015). This lack of legitimacy can embolden opposition and civil society to challenge government authority, potentially leading to political instability.

Second, it creates a **vicious cycle of poor governance and citizen disengagement**. Low turnout allows unaccountable leaders to capture and retain power. These leaders, feeling no pressure from the electorate, continue to engage in corrupt practices and neglect public welfare. This poor performance further alienates the citizenry, driving turnout even lower in subsequent elections. In this cycle, democracy ceases to be a mechanism for accountability.

Third, high apathy can **empower extremist and anti-democratic forces**. When the majority of moderate citizens disengage from the political process, the field is left open for a small, but highly motivated and often radicalized, minority to exert disproportionate influence over electoral outcomes (Omotola, 2013).

Ultimately, persistent and declining voter participation signals a hollowing out of Nigerian democracy. It transforms the system into a formalistic ritual, an "electoral authoritarianism" where the motions of elections are performed without the substantive participation that gives them meaning. This threatens the long-term consolidation of democracy and risks a potential slide back toward instability and authoritarianism.

Conclusion and Recommendations

This paper argued that the alarming trend of voter apathy in Nigeria's Fourth Republic is a complex issue with deep roots. From the end of the citizens, it is not a reflection of laziness but logical, if tragic, response to a political and electoral system perceived as broken. The combined effects of rampant electoral malpractice, pervasive insecurity, chronic governance failure, and a dysfunctional political culture have systematically eroded the trust of Nigerian citizens in the democratic process and convinced millions that their vote does not count.

Reversing this dangerous trend requires more than just voter education campaigns. It demands a fundamental and sincere effort to address the structural deficits that fuel public disillusionment. The path to re-engaging the Nigerian voter is the path to making democracy deliver on its promises. To this end, the following recommendations are proposed for key stakeholders:

a. For the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC):

- i. **Enhance Institutional Autonomy:** Push for constitutional amendments to grant INEC full financial autonomy and make the appointment process for its chairman and commissioners more transparent and non-partisan.
- ii. **Improve Electoral Logistics:** Invest in better planning, training, and deployment systems to ensure the timely and complete arrival of materials and personnel on Election Day.
- iii. **Guarantee Technological Integrity:** Conduct rigorous, transparent, and end-to-end testing of all electoral technologies (like BVAS and IReV) well ahead of elections and ensure their consistent application to build public confidence.

b. For the Government and Legislature:

- i. **Implement Comprehensive Electoral Reform:** Go beyond the Electoral Act 2022 to establish an Electoral Offences Commission with the power to effectively prosecute and punish electoral offenders, including their sponsors.
- ii. **Prioritize Security:** Make a genuine and visible commitment to tackling insecurity across the country, not just during election seasons, to create a safe environment for all civic activities.
- iii. **Deliver Good Governance:** Focus on tackling corruption and improving the delivery of public services. Demonstrable progress in improving the quality of life is the most effective way to convince citizens that their participation matters.

c. For Political Parties:

- i. **Institutionalize Internal Democracy:** Reform party constitutions to ensure that candidates are selected through transparent and democratic primaries, reducing the influence of money and godfathers.
- ii. **Develop Ideological Platforms:** Move away from personality-based politics and develop clear, issue-based programs that offer voters real policy choices.



d. For Civil Society Organizations and the Media:

- i. **Sustain Civic Education:** Continue robust, year-round civic and voter education focused not just on the mechanics of voting but on the importance of holding elected officials accountable between elections.
- ii. **Act as Watchdogs:** Intensify efforts to monitor governance, expose corruption, and fact-check political actors to help citizens make informed choices.
- iii. The challenge of voter apathy is a referendum on the state of Nigeria's democracy itself. Rebuilding the broken trust between the state and its citizens is the most urgent task facing the nation. Without it, the democratic experiment of the Fourth Republic remains in peril.



References

- Adebayo, P. F. (2020). Vote buying and the future of democracy in Nigeria. *Journal of Social and Political Sciences*, 3(1), 163-171.
- Afolabi, O. (2021). Democratic disenchantment and voter apathy in Nigeria's Fourth Republic. *Journal of African Elections*, 20 (2), 64-83.
- Ake, C. (1996). *Democracy and development in Africa*. The Brookings Institution.
- Bratton, M. (2008). Vote buying and violence in Nigerian election campaigns. *Electoral Studies*, 27(4), 621–632.
- Centre for Democracy and Development. (2023). *Nigeria's 2023 presidential election: A litmus test for the electoral act 2022*. CDD West Africa. <https://www.cddwestafrica.org/nigerias-2023-presidential-election-a-litmus-test-for-the-electoral-act-2022/>
- Diamond, L. (2015). *In search of democracy*. Routledge.
- Downs, A. (1957). *An economic theory of democracy*. Harper & Row.
- Dudley, B. (1982). *An introduction to Nigerian government and politics*. Macmillan.
- European Union Election Observation Mission. (2007). *Nigeria: Final report on the presidential and gubernatorial elections*. https://www.eods.eu/library/EUEOM%20FR%20NIGERIA%202007_en.pdf
- European Union Election Observation Mission. (2023). *Final report on the general elections 2023*. https://www.eeas.europa.eu/eueom-nigeria-2023/eueom-nigeria-2023-final-report_en
- Human Rights Watch. (2019). *Nigeria: Election-related violence mars polls*. <https://www.hrw.org/news/2019/02/25/nigeria-election-related-violence-mars-polls>
- Ibeanu, O., & Momoh, A. (2009). *Political parties and the quest for democratic consolidation in Nigeria*. The Centre for Democracy and Development.
- Idayat, H., & Osirike, A. B. (2019). *The judiciary and the 2019 elections in Nigeria*. Centre for Democracy and Development.
- Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC). (n.d.). *Election results and data*. Retrieved from various INEC publications and its official website.
- International Republican Institute. (2011). *Final report on the Nigeria April 2011 national elections*. <https://www.iri.org/resources/final-report-on-the-nigeria-april-2011-national-elections/>
- Joseph, R. A. (2014). *Democracy and prebendal politics in Nigeria: The rise and fall of the Second Republic*. Cambridge University Press.



- Kurfi, A. (2005). *Nigerian general elections 1951-2003: My roles and reminiscences*. Spectrum Books.
- Lewis, P. M. (2011). *Growing apart: Oil, politics, and economic change in Indonesia and Nigeria*. University of Michigan Press.
- National Bureau of Statistics.(2023). *Nigerian multidimensional poverty index (2022)*.<https://nigerianstat.gov.ng/>
- Okoli, A. C., &Ogundu, D. C. (2021). Electoral violence and voter apathy in Nigeria: A study of the 2019 presidential election. *Democracy and Security*, 17(1), 66-89. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17419166.2020.1852026>
- Omotola, J. S. (2010). Political parties and the quest for political stability in Nigeria. *Taiwan Journal of Democracy*, 6 (2), 125-145.
- Omotola, J. S. (2013). The pathology of electoral violence in Nigeria. *Africa Spectrum*, 48(3), 91–105.
- Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community*. Simon & Schuster.
- Suberu, R. T. (2001). *Federalism and ethnic conflict in Nigeria*. United States Institute of Peace Press.
- Transparency International.(2022). *Corruption Perception Index*.<https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi>
- YIAGA Africa.(2023). *Final report on the 2023 Nigerian general elections*.